

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/729,264	11/28/2000	Andrew A. Welcher	01-668	6658	
20306	7590 10/15/2003		EXAM	INER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			WHITEMAN	WHITEMAN, BRIAN A	
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		1635		
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/729,264	WELCHER ET AL.			
, lavidery reducin	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian Whiteman	1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address					
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10,11,48,55 and 57-59</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9,12-47,49	<u>-54 and 56</u> .				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449)				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
		•			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/729,264

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed amendent to claim 59 would raise new issues under 112 first paragraph new matter and would require further consideration and search. Recitation of the term "B7-like gene" in proposed claim 10 would raise new issues under 112 second paragraph. A new search and further consideration would be required for the limitation "70% identical" in proposed claim 3.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument is moot because the argument is based on proposed claims that were not entered.

Continuation of 10. Other: Box 2a should have been checked in the office action summary filed on 6/26/03 instead of box2b as correcity pointed out by applicants. As stated several times in the office action filed on 6/26/03, the action was a final rejection.

SCOTT D. PRIEDE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER